WE MUST SET OUR HOUSE (GOVERNMENT) IN ORDER!

PART TWO

By MYRON C. FAGAN

KNOW the TRUTH and the TRUTH SHALL MAKE you FREE

If Nixon's inauguration speech was sincere, by the time this BULLETIN will be in print, some of the suggestions contained herein and in the preceding issue (NEWS-BULLETIN NO. 139) may have been recognized and put into effect—if not, this issue will STRESS the great need to put them into effect. MCF.

No. 140

Published by:

CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD

(Organized to Combat the GREAT CONSPIRACY)

P. O. Box 46205, Hollywood, Calif., 90046

MARCH, 1969, NEWS-BULLETIN

This should be a CONSTANT REMINDER: The most important thing for all of us to ALWAYS remember is that the salvation of our Country depends upon getting the TRUTH of the GREAT CONSPIRACY to ALL of the American people. When—and if—that finally will be accomplished our nation will again be FREE and SAFE. The Masterminds of the GREAT CONSPIRACY (the CFR) have since the very early 1920s had absolute control of all our MASS COMMUNICATIONS MEDIA, so we know that the PRESS, TV and RADIO won't tell the TRUTH to the people, thus it is up to YOU and me to do it . . . USE OUR "ILLUMINATI-CFR CONSPIRACY" Recordings and "RED STARS OVER HOLLYWOOD" (TV and RADIO) RECORDINGS TO ALERT ALL your friends and neighbors—AND ALL THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

Price per copy, 50 cents — For members of CEG the price is 25 cents per copy.

CONTINUATION OF THE MALLAN "REPORT."

After listening to the two anonymous military officials' stories, as related in our preceding **"News-Bulletin,"** No 139, Mallan stated in his "REPORT" that he was STUNNED It seemed utterly unbelievable that a tiny group of (civilian) **"intellectual advisers"** in our hightest offices could control the destiny of the most powerful nation on earth Mallan asked himself **"Were these men sincere, but naive, or were (and are) they deliberate traitors obeying CFR Conspiracy Masterminds?"** He finally decided to check out the story at its source—**not depend upon "hearsay."** He phoned Gen Maxwell Taylor, now retired from the Army, at his home in Washington, D C Taylor was not at home, but Mrs Taylor gave him the phone numbers for his two offices **Strangely, one of these was in the White House!**

When Mallan introduced himself and stated his objective, Gen. Taylor refused to discuss the matter with him, suggesting that he could get whatever information he needed from the **"record."** Either that, said Taylor, or he (Mallan) could direct his questions to Gen Earle Wheeler, the present Chief of Staff Mallan replied that he had contacted the Pentagon, but everybody there refused to **"talk."**

"Well," said Taylor, with a laugh, **"they're the people that ought to talk. I'm just a private citizen now."**

That **(supposedly)** ended the matter, as far as Taylor was concerned. But Mallan was very curious about the fact that Taylor still had an office in the White House, so he decided to phone the White House and ask for his title And he found that Gen. Taylor **(at that time)** was wearing two hats in the White House He was Special Consultant to the President (Johnson) and he was also a member of the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board **Yet, he** (Taylor) **had stated that he was just another "private citizen!"**

Mallan's two anonymous military friends had earlier given him strong suggestions to try to get in touch with general officers of outstanding experience and insight, who are now retired They pointed out that no Pentagon **official** restrictions could prevent **them** from **"talking"** and being quoted by name and rank They had suggested a few names to start and that led Mallan to others Altogether, he interviewed nine Generals and one Admiral—and every one of them granted him interviews lasting an hour to two-and-a half hours

In his report in "SCIENCE & MECHANICS," Mallan presented their views for a quick end to the war in Vietnam—he listed them in the order of their position and rank, so there could be no doubt about the **"authority"** for their views

The first was Air Force General Nathan F Twining, a former Chairman

of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. This man's military standing and overall knowledge of military problems is too well known to require elaboration. In response to Mallan's first question, Gen. Twining forcefully and unhesitatingly stated: **"Either we should hit the North of Vietnam with everything we've got, bring them to their knees fast—or get out. My own opinion is that we should formally declare a state of war and invade the North. Then we could legally blockade the harbor of Haiphong—and sink ANY foreign shipping that attempts to violate the blockade. Running the blockade would be a tacit act of war against us—and the Russians as well as Red China and any other nation supplying the North well know this."** Twining further stated that he was not one bit worried about China or Russia coming into a war against us. He was only worried that the longer we wait to finish the job, the more strength we would be allowing the enemy to build . . . **"I would tell them all that we're changing our strategy, that as of right now we are starting a new war. I'd tell them to get their people out of important target areas—and then I'd lower the boom on them! We'd win with that kind of war in a matter of weeks."**

Gen. Twining expressed another scathing criticism about the civilian **"intellectual"** advisors in the White House, State Department and Defense Department: **"Their naivete** (if that's what it is, he added) **not only promotes the concept of 'flexible response' in Vietnam but goes even farther afield with another** (cockeyed) **concept: that of military parity. They believe** (do they?) **that by reducing our own military power to the level of our next most powerful enemy, we will gain the confidence of that enemy to the point where he will be content with a status-quo deadlock."** . . . In other words, according to Gen. Twining, the Government civilian **"intellectual advisors"** feel that the destiny of this nation is in **their** hands—that world peace can be maintained only by reducing American superiority in arms to a parity with Russian military strength.

"I was never afraid of our military superiority causing a war," said Gen. Twining. **"I KNEW that we had no intention of using it in an aggressive way. It was there solely as a deterrent, to discourage any other major power who is a potential enemy from attempting acts of aggression."**

One thing that bothered this former Chairman of the Joint Chiefs most was the misuse of Airpower in Vietnam. **"What is going on there now,"** he said, **"might someday reduce our Air Force to a small ineffectual fighting force when we will most sorely need it! In Vietnam, the role of airpower is being played down. Research and development of new aircraft is practically at a standstill. And everything in Vietnam is controlled from Washington—all the target-strike decisions are made here, none by commanders in the field—even down to the platoon level in the case of the Army and Marine Corps . . . exactly as General MacArthur was controlled in the Korean War! !**

In complete agreement with Gen. Twining about the way the

war in Vietnam was being *(deliberately)* mishandled is Admiral Arleigh A. Burke, the only man who ever held the position of Chief of Naval Operations for three successive terms. During World War Two in the Pacific, he was nick-named "31 *knot Burke*" because he pushed the Destroyers under his command to their targets at just under boiler-bursting speed. That nick-name is symbolic of how to win wars: strike hard, fast and with full force. Admiral Burke was a member of the UN Truce Delegation in Korea to negotiate with the Communists for a military armistice—so he is fully familiar with all the treacherous and evasive tactics of the Reds. At the time that Mallan interviewed him, Admiral Burke was *(presumably he still is)* Director for the Center of Strategic Studies of Georgetown University. When Mallan asked him what he *(Burke)* would do to win the war in Vietnam, his answer was instantaneous—*and emphatic!*

"I would put our entire nation on a war footing," he said. **"Mobilize the Army, Navy and Air Force. Go into mass-production of airplanes, take battleships out of mothballs—I'd call up the reserves. Then I would attack the enemy on ALL Fronts—and show him that we really MEAN what we are doing, that we WANT to win! . . . Individuals always act on an emotional basis,"** he continued, **"not on the basis of logic. When an enemy sees that you MEAN to win, his emotional response will be to retreat. He may still try to harass you and come back at you on a small scale—but if you CONVINCE him that you are out to win he will psychologically know he is defeated . . . provided you have superior war power—as we do. . . . At no time in the entire history of warfare has a war been won through MINOR escalations. Yet this is what we have been doing in Vietnam—using MINOR escalations. So the enemy MUST feel he can hold out. His reasoning goes: 'We're not being hit as badly as we thought we would be. We can hold out this way long enough for the peace-doves in the United States to prevail.' So we escalate ten percent at a time—and each time the enemy feels that he is not being hit so hard after all—that we're not hitting him as hard as we CAN hit him, IF we wanted to . . . Of course, if you go all-out to convince an enemy that you REALLY MEAN to win, it may at the moment appear to cost more money. But it is much better to have more men and equipment than you need—than to have too little . . . this is where McNamara has been making sad mistakes** (were they mistakes?). **He was basically interested in 'cost-effectiveness': He has apparently been asking himself 'Do I get the maximum value for each dollar I spend—and does this value represent the minimum necessary force to maintain our military strength?' But he was so much concerned with minute details that he couldn't see the broad picture. He was always lost in a murky morass of details—yet he seemingly felt absolutely self-assured that he was correct. But only God and McNamara** (and McNamara's CFR masters) **KNOW they are right** (so they tried to have us believe!). **In fact, the reason that McNamara was chosen to be Secretary of Defense by the Administration was precisely because of his attitude—his** (supposed and proclaimed) **interest in saving money—and not in saving lives or equipment. His is truly a** (CFR-

dominated) political job—not a military one. To him, war is a game for a purpose (the ILLUMINATI purpose); there is the matter of body-counts. That was a part of the game—to release counts on the number of enemy dead versus our own dead. But body-counts don't mean a thing—it is barbaric to begin with—because you don't WANT to kill people, you want to paralyze an enemy to the point where he is CONVINCED that he MUST lose if he continues the war. We have not been doing this in Vietnam. As a result, our own people are becoming discouraged, weary, disinterested and disenchanted. MANY of our people want us to just pull out of Vietnam—and that would be fatal NOW. We can't pull out, because if we do, the Communists—and the whole world—would think we are weak. But nobody in the Pentagon, among the civilian planners, which particularly included McNamara, ever asked the question: 'If we fail with our present attitude in Vietnam what is our alternative to win the war?' Actually, we have no alternate plan. At the present rate of minor escalations of the war, we'll be in Vietnam for another five or six years—OR MORE! Maybe we'll eventually contradict the known facts of military history and win. We probably will. But the cost in lives and equipment will have been horrifyingly tremendous."

"Well," asked Mallan, "if we take your approach toward winning the war, how about Moscow and Red China? There are a lot of intelligently thoughtful people who feel that a third global war would be started if we were to invade North Vietnam."

"You're right," replied the Admiral, "Many people who know nothing about military science are afraid of what Mainland China and the Soviets would do if we invaded North Vietnam—but I WOULD invade the North and at the same time mine the harbors, Haiphong and all the rest. In the case of Red China, they have their own internal political problems. Besides, their logistics to support an expeditionary force in Vietnam would be, to put it mildly, FORMIDABLE. Our Navy and Air Force could strike and destroy vital targets anywhere inside the great Chinese land mass, thereby cutting off supplies from the Chinese Army in Vietnam. As for the USSR, their logistics would be equally formidable—and their economy would be so strained in such conditions that they would be forced to say to themselves: 'It's not worth it—let's pull out altogeher.' . . . These are alternatives that the Administration refuses to consider—and many of our people are unable to consider."

"Admiral," asked Mallan, if you were given full command of the war in Vietnam, how long do you think it would take you absolutely to defeat the enemy?"

The Admiral smiled. "Nobody really can know how long it will take to win a war. There are too many variables and individuals involved. But considering the time required to mobilize and deploy the required forces, I would guess at from 8 weeks to 3 months. At any rate, it would be a much,

MUCH shorter time than the YEARS it will take using our present rate of minor escalation."

Supporting Admiral Burke's thesis that the war in Vietnam, if properly fought, could be won quickly is Four-Star General George H. Decker, a former Chief of Staff of the U.S. Army. His gallant record is so well known that we do not have to stress his military expertise. Mallan's first question to him was:

"What can we do, that we are not now doing, to win the war in Vietnam fast?"

His reply was: **"Invade the North and blockade the port of Haiphong."**

"Wouldn't that actively bring Red China and Russia into the battle?" asked Mallan, to see whether or not his answer would coincide with the answers of Admiral Burke and Gen. Twining . . . IT DID!

"I am not afraid of Red China or Russia," promptly replied Gen. Decker. **"We are the most powerful nation on earth today. We might not be able effectively to inactivate the Chinese foot armies, but we wouldn't have to. If we destroyed their strategic targets—notably their nuclear installations—they would be defeated. They KNOW this and it would be a strong deterrent to their entering a war against us. People around the world would cheer if we knocked out Red China's future potential as a nuclear power."**

Mallan's next question was: **"Then why don't we invade North Vietnam and blockade Haiphong?"**

Gen. Decker grinned wryly. **"Our Administrations from the very beginning have tried to build the illusion that this is not OUR war, that we are merely cooperating with friends. That illusion would be destroyed if we formally declared war against No. Vietnam. But although this is essentially an Asian war, it IS actually OUR war—a war to protect our national interests. A Communist-controlled Asia would be a real threat to those interests. Yet, unless we do declare war against the North, we cannot LEGALLY invade or blockade . . . We do not have to fire shots to blockade. We merely, by declaring war OFFICIALLY, tell the Soviet Union, Red China, BRITAIN—whatever nation is delivering supplies to Hanoi—to keep their ships out of the area, if they do not want to have them sunk or damaged. THAT would be a most effective deterrent . . . We MUST be credible! Because of our present position of 'no-win' weakness, neither Hanoi, nor Moscow, nor Red China believe us—they do not believe that** (and rightly so as far as our Administrations have been concerned) **we are DETERMINED to win . . . China entering the war physically would be abhorrent to Hanoi because they would overrun the North. They would pretend that their armies were comprised of volunteers as they did in Korea, but this would make no difference if we were LEGALLY at war with the North."**

"How about the Vietcong in the South?" Mallan asked.

"They would dry up on the vine," replied Gen. Decker. "Without supplies from the North and/or the Soviet Union and Red China, they could not continue to fight. Right now they have trouble getting recruits from among their own Southern people. They have had to draw on the North for 'recruits.' "

"Then you believe," said Mallan, "that the only answer to sure and quick victory is to go 'all-out' to win right now?"

Gen. Decker nodded. "Now our STATED policy is that we do not want to destroy the Government of North Vietnam. Invasion might do this—but not if we handled things as Gen. MacArthur did in Japan. We could make a treaty with Hanoi and place restrictions on their aid to Vietcong among other things. They would have to abide by that treaty, whether or not they wanted to, because we would police them."

"General Decker," said Mallan, "would you mind elaborating a little more on why Red China would not enter a war against us if we invaded North Vietnam?"

"Well," said Decker, "there are a half-million Nationalist Chinese troops on the island of Taiwan. I saw them practice maneuvers—and they are excellently trained soldiers and air men. They are eager to take a crack at the Red Chinese. In case of war, we, of course, would have to transport them to the mainland of China. But in the event that we would be in a more or less formal state of war with China, such a move would be routine. I don't know how nervous mainland China would be about this threat from Taiwan, but that threat is not inconsiderable—in a practical physical sense."

In summary, Gen. Decker's personal attitude is: "We have never won the war in Korea—because of our methods and because those methods were controlled by the UN. We are not winning in Vietnam for the very same reason. If we are going to fight a war, we, the United States, should FIGHT it, without regard to the UN."

Gen. Decker should certainly know whereof he speaks. As Commander of all UN troops, including those of the U.S., in Korea for two years, his experience is firsthand.

Another officer with great firsthand experience is Air Force General Thomas S. Power, who not too long ago was Commander-in-Chief of the Strategic Air Command. In fact, as Vice Commander of SAC under Gen. Curtis E. LeMay, he was responsible along with LeMay, for building that command into the world's most powerful strategic force. This was accomplished within six short years. Today, SAC remains the world's most potent force for peace, since no potential enemy of the United States would dare to challenge its power. This man surely knows *"all the military answers."*

Mallan asked Gen. Power: **"What would you do to end the war in Vietnam—FAST?"**

"First," replied Gen. Power, **"I'd close the port of Haiphong, then I'd keep going until the works of man were literally destroyed. At any time along the way the North Vietnamese could end the war—if they WANTED to. All they'd have to do is say: 'we will stop the killing in South Vietnam. We will get out of South Vietnam' and the war would end at that minute. They have complete control over ending the war."**

"So if you were in command, what specifically would you do to convince Hanoi that their goal is futile?"

Gen. Power promptly replied: **"I'd destroy the works of man in North Vietnam."**

"You mean, all STRATEGIC targets?" Mallan asked.

"I mean ALL targets," replied Gen. Power, **"ALL the works of man!"** He paused and then stated emphatically: **"If you show them that you mean what you say, your're going to defeat them."**

Mallan asked: **"Do you mean that they don't think we mean what we say right now?"**

"Well," replied Power, **"right now we're doing things in a very restrained and what we call a very moral way—but in this way we lose the psychological impact. We cause the enemy to think he can survive, because some day we'll be forced to quit due to internal pressures. And, of course, all these damned fools here in this country who are creating the wrong image—one of weakness—cause Ho Chi Mien to think that he is going to win this war in WASHINGTON—and THIS is what keeps him going."**

"How would YOU change his mind?" asked Mallan.

"I think," replied Power, **"the thing to do is just increase the level of pressure on him—so that he'll be damn well convinced that these knotheads in the United States who are so loudly protesting for peace are not going to be able to stop our actions. Because those actions will be coming at him too fast for him to be encouraged . . . The worst thing you can do in a war is to fight it piecemeal—because then you encourage the enemy to keep going. And we're piecemealing the whole thing right now. I think we're winning, but very slowly. The enemy can't take all that pounding day after day and not become discouraged. But airpower—and all other power—is not being used properly in North Vietnam. We're piecemealing it . . . One of the lessons we learned in World War Two was: never go back to a target: In other words, if you're going in to destroy it—DESTROY it. For two reasons: one, it saves your life—you don't have to keep on going into that flak again and again. But the second thing is: the psychological impact of destroying a**

target all at once, FOR GOOD. This has a tremendous impact. But if the enemy survives an attack, this gives him hope that he'll survive all attacks—which psychologically, is bad."

"If our Government acted on your advice," pursued Mallan, "how soon do you think the war would end?"

"It would depend upon the condition the North Vietnamese are left in." replied Gen. Power. "There's not too much in their country to begin with. But, after all, they have to have one thing—they have to have FOOD. So if we closed their ports and then REALLY hammered them—that war would be over, but quick! . . . My only point is this—and this is a crude example: if we leave Ho Chi Mien sitting on a broken down orange crate with his bare butt sticking out of his ragged trousers while he looks over his whole country in ruins, then he would have to ask himself: 'Well, little man, was it such a good idea after all to invade the South?' I think we ought to ask him if he'd like to be in that position. And if he does end up in that position, I think we ought to tell all other potential gangsters who are trying to grab countries, such as Thailand, for example: 'Take a look at Ho. This is what can happen to YOU. This is no child's play. We're just not going to let you get away with aggression. And if you try, here's what will happen to you.' That's the way I feel about the war in Vietnam."

———•———

Among other military experts Mallan interviewed were Air Force General Frederick H. Smith, Jr., also Lt. Gen. Ira C. Eaker; also Lt. Gen. Arthur G. Trudeau and Air Force Major General Gilbert L. Meyers. Every one of them, without knowing what the others had said, appraised the entire situation with virtually the same words. They all outlined the steps necessary to bring an end to the "*war*" in Vietnam. And, *significantly*, every one of them expressed the same caustic criticisms of McNamara, of Johnson, of Rusk, of all the "*civilian intellectual advisors*" in Washington. All of them unequivocally stated that it is a deliberate "*no-win*" war to suit the objectives of the *ILLUMINATI-CFR-UN Conspiracy*—and that this "*war*" would be continued for many years, and lead to similar "*wars*" for the same objective, unless all authority for conducting this "*war*" and bringing it to a quick end by the means described by Gen. Twining, Admiral Burke and other highly qualified military men, are taken out of the control of the CFR-controlled men in our Federal Government. Furthermore, every one of those military experts derisively dismissed any and all fears that either Red China or Moscow would enter into the situation and convert it into a Third World Nuclear War.

———•———

The foregoing is a consensus of opinion about the entire fraud of the Vietnam *"war"*—not only how it could have been won without the continuous *"murder"* of our sons throughout the Kennedy and Johnson Administrations, but why there never should have been such a war; it reveals how all of the American people have been deceived, lied to, and brainwashed by the men in the White House, by the men in our State and Defense Departments, and by our Mass Communications Media . . . The very same kind of lies, deceits and brainwashings that were practiced by the men to whom we had entrusted the safety and security of our nation and our people during the so-called Korean War, *which also was a "war" created by the CFR's UN!* . . . The very same kind of lies, deceits and brainwashings that were employed to hurl us into *"World War Two"* by the men to whom we had entrusted the safety and security of our nation at that time—and, as a now proven fact, *the very same kind* of lies, deceits and treacheries Woodrow Wilson and his *"chosen advisors"* had employed to hurl us into World War One.

HERE IS A PERFECT SIMILE

Once upon a time a farmer had accumulated a vast flock of sheep. Fully aware that his sheep would require protection from wolves, coyotes and other marauding animals, the farmer went to a kennel and acquired a dog to guard his sheep. But when the dog saw what a big flock it was, he told the farmer that he would have to have some assistants to help him do the job. The farmer agreed. The dog then told the farmer that he would like to choose such assistants from among friends and companions whom he knew and trusted. Again the farmer agreed, and then *(exactly as the American Voters)* he left everything to those dogs and went off to do all his ordinary farm chores. On occasions, the farmer bewilderedly noticed bones and skins of sheep that obviously had been devoured by wolves and/or coyotes. The farmer was disturbed, but he assumed that some of his chief dog's assistants had been a bit careless, or had been diverted. He did not for one moment suspect that his dogs had begun to *"mate"* with, and take orders from the marauding *"wolves,"* and had been deliberately turning their heads away when the' *'wolves"* came to feast on their *(sheep)* charges. The farmer *"scolded"* his dogs, ordered them to be more watchful, and then *(again exactly like the American Voters)* went about his various farm chores. But again and again he discovered more *"remains"* of sheep—and finally he decided to change his *"guardians of his*

sheep," and get other "*dogs*" to do the job. But, somehow or other, every new set of "*dogs*" that farmer acquired did exactly what that first set of "*dogs*" did—they "*mated*" with, and became the "*stooges*" of the same marauding wolf-packs. What that farmer needed was a "*dog*" such as *(the film-famed)* a "STRONGHEART" or a "RIN-TIN-TIN," or a "LASSIE," the kind of watchdogs of whose loyalty there could be no doubt.

(Note: To simplify that "SIMILE" let's identify the "Farmer" as the USA and that "herd of sheep" as the American people.)

This may sound like a very roundabout *(fabled)* way of telling you what has been happening to our nation and to the American people—and our *desperate* need of a WATCHDOG COMMITTEE such as I proposed in our previous News-Bulletin—*a continuously operating* Committee composed of the kind of men who signed that "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES," to "*watch*" the men we elect into the White House and the men they pick for their *(Assistants)* Secretaries of State, Defense, of Treasury—also to watch the men we elect to our Congress who confirm the "*assistants*" picked by the chief "*Watchdog*" in the White House. Because what I described in the above "SIMILE" was exactly what has been happening to our nation and the American people, to wit:

In 1912 we voted Woodrow Wilson into the White House—we did not know that he was "*mated*" with the Jacob Schiff-Rothschild Internationalist Conspiracy "*wolves.*" During his first four years Wilson was very cagey and careful. Then, in 1916, we voted him back into the White House, and during the following years he betrayed us with his "*Federal Reserve Act*" hoax—then he hurled us into World War One with his lies of Germany's *supposed* acts of war against us. Still the people did not realize what a false "*watch dog*" he was—it was in 1919, when he tried to lure us in the "*League of Nations,*" that we finally came awake. What did we do? Like the "*Farmer*" in the "SIMILE," we replaced Wilson with Warren Harding, who wasn't a much better dog; then with Herbert Hoover, who was a very stupid "*dog*" and was openly "*mated*" with the CFR; then we replaced him with the most treasonous "*dog*" of all: Franklin Roosevelt; then with Dwight Eisenhower; then with Jack Kennedy; then Johnson, all of them "*wolf-mated (CFR-controlled) dogs*"; when all the time what we desperately needed in the White House was a "STRONGHEART" or a "RIN-TIN-TIN" who quickly would have "*sniffed-out*" all the treacherous "*mates*" (stooges) of the ILLUMINATI-CFR Wolf pack and cleansed Washington of all of them . . . but, like the Farmer in the "SIMILE," after the election, we, the American people, left everything to the new man in the

White House and went about our particular affairs . . . *which is exactly what Nixon is asking—or expecting—us to do NOW!*

THE MOST VITAL QUESTION OF ALL!

Are YOU—and every other American who voted for a Candidate who was, or is, supporting the "UNITED NATIONS," *unwittingly* a TRAITOR? . . . Now let me tell you why I ask that question:

The most vital requirement in the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES" is a demand that our Congress shall officially declare that the U.S. is in a state of War against North Vietnam. The direct objective of that demand in the "PETITION," aside from bringing that war to an end, is to get the U.S. and all our Armed Forces out of the clutches *(control)* of the UN. You, and the vast majority of the American people, whose sons are dying and being maimed in far-off Vietnam, whose sons died and were maimed in the Korean war, are under the impression that those wars were *(and are being)* fought by the United States. That is NOT TRUE! Let me show you how false it is:

1) Under Article 25 of the UN Charter, the U.S. Government is firmly bound to "*Accept and carry out the decisions of the Security Council,*" *without reservation*—the VETO means nothing . . . 2) Under Article 39 the Security Council, NOT THE U.S. CONGRESS, shall decide when a breach of the peace or an act of aggression has been committed and "*Shall decide what action shall be taken*" . . . 3) An "*act of aggression*" was committed against the United States by the seizure of the "PUEBLO"—the President turned the matter over to the UN, *as required by Article* 51—thus this "*full authority and responsibility*" for the "PUEBLO" and the crew rests with the UNITED NATIONS—Congress can do nothing about it! . . . 4) The UNITED NATIONS is still officially at War with North Korea; peace has never been negotiated, *but the U.S. is paying all the "war" costs*, 50,000 young Americans, *serving in a UNITED NATIONS ARMY*, still guard a demilitarized zone under a "*case fire*" arrangement; American prisoners of war have never been released, the Government of the U.S. CANNOT free these men because *they served in a UNITED NATIONS ARMY* . . . 5) The Vietnam War is a UN war conducted through a "UN REGIONAL AGENCY" (SEATO); Our State Department has admitted that in accordance with ARTICLE 54 of the UN Charter "*we are reporting promptly and fully all our major activities in Viet Nam to the UN Security*

Council"—exactly as we did during the Korean War . . . 6) The head of the UN MILITARY SECRETARIAT is, *by agreement since the UN was set up*, always a SOVIET CITIZEN; we fight "*Communists*" in Viet Nam *(as we did in Korea)* and report "*all our major activities*" to the "*Communist*" Military Secretary in the UNITED NATIONS . . . 7) The U.S., *in conformity with Artcile 43 of the UN Charter*, was obliged to report the "*Missile Crisis*" in Cuba—Secretary General U. Thant *(a Communist)* went in person to Cuba and finally *completed the establishment of Castro's control* . . . 8) Now the UN is committed to invade and occupy South West Africa, thus inviting war with South Africa, Rhodesia and Portugal, probably to launch World War Three, and, *under Article 43 of the UN Charter*, we are pledged to "*make available to the UN Security Council on its call, all our Armed Forces* . . . 9) in furtherance of UN objectives BILLS have been introduced in both Houses of Congress (S4019) in the Senate and (HR19650) in the House under the deceptive title "DEPARTMENT OF PEACE," calling for the creation of a new Cabinet Post for the consolidation of as many Government Agencies as the President decides to create. This phantasmagoria will be authorized to draw money directly from the Treasury; issue and sell Bonds and Debentures; operate the EXPORT-IMPORT Bank; arm or disarm the United States, and through the Agency for International Development—*all to complete rebuilding the whole world!*

Now: do the above nine citations tell you what the traitors we have elected into their high offices have done to our Country? Do you realize how they are delivering the destiny of our nation to a pack of Alien Wolves? Do you now see why we MUST place a STRONGHEART "*Watchdog*" *(The Military Committee)* on guard, such as I described in earlier pages?

In the 1890s, when our battleship, the "MAINE," was sunk *(presumably)* by Spain, our fathers did not say "*Leave it to the UN*"—they cried out: "REMEMBER THE MAINE!" and sent our Army into Cuba to avenge that "*act of aggression*." Today, we, the sons of those "REMEMBER THE MAINE" men, must "REMEMBER THE PUEBLO" and crew, whose fate was sealed by our stupid *(and treasonous)* mandatory commitment under Articles 23-39-51 of the UN Charter. We must break the chains of that *treasonous* commitment and get our U.S. out of the UN—*and decide our own destiny* . . . we, *all loyal Americans*, must demand that every member of both Houses of Congress state his position on the UN—*and RECALL everyone who still supports the UN* . . . We, *all loyal Americans*, must demand that President Nixon, *who once ran with the CFR Wolf-Pack, and who may still be running with them*, state *his* position on the UN—he must be made to state it not with just

words, but with DEEDS! *The lives of all our sons and the destiny of our nation depends upon it!*

But this entire program is not just for the new President and Congress alone. In our *(once)* free society, citizens cannot sit back and wait for a *"leader"* to give them the answers. Edmund Burke once said: *"It is only necessary, for the triumph of Evil, that good men should do nothing."* . . . But I say it is only necessary, for the triumph of freedom, that good men should do what the early Americans have always done: *take responsibility for governing themselves!*

YOU and I can do the job outlined in this *"News-Bulletin."* We can do it by getting copies of this *"News-Bulletin"* into the hands of *every* American—we can do it by getting a SET of the "ILLUMINATI-CFR" Records into every American home, and thus get that true story of the plot to destroy our beloved Country echoing *and re-echoing* throughout the Land.

Do you love our Country enough to help me thus ALERT all of the American people? . . . Do you love your children enough to help me do it?

"REMEMBER THE PUEBLO" - NEVER FORGET IT! ! !

When the amazing and the almost incredible story of the TREASON that delivered the PUEBLO and its hapless crew to the No. Koreans and Moscow will become FULLY revealed to ALL of the American people *(not merely what the Press will be allowed to reveal)* in all its horrifying details it will accomplish the two things that will spell the salvation of our Country.

No. 1) It will smash the ILLUMINATI-CFR's control of our Federal Government and of both of our Political Parties — and simultaneously destroy that evil outfit's control of our Mass Communications Media, thus restoring a LOYAL Press to the American people . . . No. 2) It will automatically make it imperative that the Committee of the courageous 27 Military men *(plus others)* who launched the "PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES" shall be created into the *continuously functioning* "MILITARY TAKE-CARE OF GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE" which I outlined in "News-Bulletin" No. 138. Such a "COMMITTEE" will restore—*and keep restored*—the Constitutional Government that God inspired our Founding Fathers to provide for us.

Now for the *complete* story of the betrayal of the "PUEBLO" to the ENEMY: When the Nixon Administration came into office on January 20, 1969, it automatically came into control of all the files of the Executive Department of the Federal Government. That, *even though the Johnson gang may have destroyed some of the most revealing* (and damning) *documents in the files*, can prove to be even more important to the future of our Country than White House control of Congress, which the Republican Party failed to win in the 1968 election.

The new Republican Administration (NIXON) has the opportunity—*and the responsibility*—to reveal and expose the TREASON and the misdeeds of the Johnson *(and Kennedy)* Administration, and to release to the American people the documents which have been kept secret in order to protect the reputations of CFR stooges of the Kennedy and Johnson Administrations. Only by such a thorough investigation of the files and complete exposure of the TRUTH can the American people's *right to know* all the facts be assured. Here I will "*borrow*" from a Phyllis Schlafly "REPORT" to stress the most vital investigations which the Nixon Administration MUST undertake:

THE "PUEBLO" IS THE MOST VITAL!

On January 22 (1969) a concerted attempt was launched to pin the blame for the loss of the "*Pueblo*" on Commander Lloyd Bucher and the 80-odd surviving crew members—instead of on the guilty officials of the Johnson Administration.

As the news about the PUEBLO crew dribbled out during the weeks before the official "INQUIRY" *(actually Court Martial)* was launched, the various questions raised in the Press were: "*Why didn't the Pueblo crew fight to resist capture?*" . . . "*Why didn't the crew destroy the ship instead of surrendering it?*" . . . "*Why did the crew sign statements admitting that they had violated North Korea's territorial waters?*"

All those questions were completely inconsequential until we *first* were to be given the answers to the following questions:

1) Who was responsible for ordering the Pueblo into dangerous waters near a Communist satellite without the protection which our sailors and ships have a right to expect from their country? . . . No. 2) who was responsible for failing to send help to the PUEBLO during the three hours between the time it was threatened and the

time it was taken into No. Korean custody? . . . No. 3) Who was responsible for putting the U.S. in such a degrading condition of military weakness that our Government had to *crawl* to the Communists, *beg* Moscow to obtain the release of the crew, and then falsely APOLOGIZE for intruding in No. Korea's territorial waters when *in fact* the PUEBLO never did so intrude? . . . No. 4) Who was responsible for allowing the crew to remain in Enemy hands, subject to severe beatings, for eleven months before they were released?

The Johnson Administration assigned a Naval Court of Inquiry to investigate all phases of the conduct of the PUEBLO crew—*that "Court" was trying the wrong suspects*—the men who should have been *(still should be)* court-martialed were in Washington, D.C., not in Coronado, Calif.

It was, *and is*, positively outrageous to blame the 82 men on the PUEBLO for not fighting to the death, when all the weapons they had were two 50-caliber machine guns—*and at the same to ignore their civilian chiefs*, Robert *Strange* McNamara and Paul Nitze, who refused to send any help from the great United States Navy and Air Force.

Their arguments that all our planes in the vicinity of Korea were loaded with Nuclear weapons, and therefore could not be used to aid the PUEBLO, may *sound* like a plausible explanation, *but it definitely is NO excuse!* Someone in the Johnson Administration is to blame for leaving the PUEBLO, with its highly-sophisticated top-secret electronic gear, absolutely naked to the enemy without Sea or Air protection! What the Kennedy-Johnson Administration did to the Cuban Freedom Fighters at the Bay of Pigs in 1961, the Johnson-Humphrey Administration did to our own men on the PUEBLO in 1968.

It is *outrageous* to blame Bucher, Commander of the PUEBLO, and the crew for signing statements that the U.S. had committed *"crimes"* against No. Korea—when the Johnson Administration issued a public APOLOGY *"acknowledging the validity of the 'confessions' of the crew of the U.S.S. PUEBLO."* Does that mean that there is one rule of conduct for the seaman and another for the so-called diplomat?

That Navy Court of Inquiry which was convened to investigate the PUEBLO crew is ominously remindful of the Justice Owen Roberts Investigation ordered by Franklin Roosevelt after the December 7, 1941 Japanese so-called *"sneak attack"* on Pearl Harbor. That Roberts inquiry was designed *NOT to discover the truth*, but deliberately to pin the blame for the disaster on Admiral Kim-

mel and General Short, our Commanders at Pearl Harbor on that "*day of infamy.*" Research by the late John T. Flynn and subsequent Congressional investigations proved conclusively that Kimmel and Short were not the culprits at all—Roosevelt, Gen. George Marshall and Admiral Stark, *all in Washington, D.C.* were the guilty ones, because they had been fully informed by U.S. decoding experts of the coming attack days before it occurred, and they did absolutely nothing to warn the Commanders at Pearl Harbor.

NOW the Nixon Administration has access to all the records concerning the PUEBLO. NOW we should have a real investigation all the way to the top in order to properly assess the guilt of STRANGE McNamara and his cohorts. We must not permit a policy of pinning the blame on the innocent sailors, while letting their civilian "*chiefs*" in the White House, the Pentagon, and the State Department get off scot free.

If Nixon fails to take the necessary action to properly assess the entire outrageous PUEBLO act of TREASON, it will make a continuously functioning "MILITARY TAKE-CARE OF GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE" all the more MANDATORY—for the salvation of our Country!!!

OTHER "MANDATORY" JOBS FOR NIXON

The biggest farce ever perpetrated by the Democrats *(and the CFR)* is their pretense to adhere to Woodrow Wilson's formula in international relations of "*open covenants, openly arrived at.*" The Kennedy and Johnson Administrations followed right in step with the Roosevelt and Truman Administrations in basing their foreign policy on the principle of "*Secret Covenants, Secretly arrived at.*"

Today the Nixon Administration has access to all the secret foreign policy documents of the United States. It is Nixon's *duty* and *responsibility* to give the American people the TRUTH about all the secret documents which affect our lives and security. Under NO circumstances should any agreements, or official papers concerning them, be kept secret in order to protect the reputations of Government officials. Following is a list of secret documents which should make an excellent start for the new Administration which, *according to Nixon,* believes in freedom of information and in the American peoples "*right to know.*"

1. The Kennedy-Khrushchev letters about the Cuban Missile Crisis of 1962. The American people have a right to know what secret deals were made by President Kennedy. Both Khrushchev and Castro have

publicly boasted that Kennedy made secret commitments favorable to Castro. It is silly to claim that the Kennedy-Khrushchev correspondence is kept secret for "national security." Obviously, the Communists know what is in the letters, the American people should know, too. What did Kennedy promise in regard to our preventing any invasion of Cuba by the freedom-loving Cubans? What did Kennedy promise about the reduction of U.S. strategic power? What did Kennedy promise about closing down our missile and bomber bases in Turkey, Italy, and North Africa? It is absurd that the American people are denied the official record, and instead given the self-serving account written by Bobby Kennedy and edited after his death by Theodore Sorenson in order to protect the Kennedy image.

2. The three secret treaties negotiated with Panama in 1967. These would have the effect of giving away our Panama Canal—the biggest giveaway of all time. Fortunately, due to the timely and courageous investigative reporting by the *Chicago Tribune*, the terms of the treaties were published in that newspaper in time for opposition to speak out in Congress. To save face, the Johnson Administration then did not push immediate ratification of the treaties. The American people have the right to read the full State Department texts of the treaties and to know exactly who was responsible for this shocking betrayal of American interests.

3. The secrets of Walt W. Rostow's meetings in Moscow in December 1960. Rostow made this trip ostensibly to attend the Pugwash Conference, but actually at the behest of John F. Kennedy who appointed Rostow to a high Government position within weeks after his return from Moscow. The trip has always been shrouded in secrecy, but it is known that the principal objective of the mission was nuclear disarmament. In view of the fact that U.S. nuclear striking power has been scrapped by 50% since that date, and of the fact that Rostow has since risen in power and influence until he became President Johnson's principal foreign policy adviser, the American people have a right to know what transpired during Rostow's 1960 meetings in Moscow with Soviet officials.

4. The Secret Rostow Report of 1962. This is the master plan on foreign policy written by Rostow which established "no-win" as the official policy of the Kennedy-Johnson Administration toward world Communism. This is the report which said that the Communists are "mellowing," that the U.S. should not seek "victory" over the Soviet Union or over Communism, and urged that the U.S. abandon our first-strike weapons in order to allay the suspicions of the Communists. Senator Everett Dirksen put into the *Congressional Record* two articles about the Rostow Report which have never been chal-

lenged. Rostow himself refused to answer questions about his secret Report, hiding behind "executive privilege," usually referred to as the "executive fifth amendment."

5. The secret Ball report of 1962. This is the master plan for foreign economic policy written by Under Secretary of State George Wildman Ball which advocated an "accommodation" with the Soviet Union and a vast increase of U.S. trade with Communist countries, even in strategic items. Although its policies guided Administration actions, the Ball Report has never been released.

6. The secret Gilpatric Report of 1965. This is the report which recommended that "a treaty to bar nuclear proliferation should be granted priority over other foreign policy objectives." Although this resulted in the current push to ratify the Non-Proliferation Treaty, the Gilpatric Report is still secret.

Vietnam

Why didn't we win in Vietnam—when Air Force Chief of Staff General John P. McConnell said that the war in Vietnam could be won "*virtually overnight*" if the President would permit the Air Force to do the job, and Admiral Roy L. Johnson said our Seventh Fleet could do the job quickly if our Navy were permitted to put a naval quarantine on the port of Haiphong?

Who is responsible for putting *off limits* all the vital North Vietnam targets, such as the hydroelectric plants and dams, the Haiphong docks and cranes, the dredges which prevent the harbor of Haiphong from filling up with silt, the North Vietnam airfields, etc.?

Who forbade our Navy to rescue pilot Lt. Joseph Dunn after he was shot down in March 1968 by the Chinese Communists in international waters and radioed for help from his inflatable dinghy for seven hours?

State Department

The harassment of State Security Officer Otto Otepka proves that there are security risks in the Federal Government, and there are VIPs who are covering up for them. The American people are entitled to know *who* are the security risks, *what* State Department official issued each security "waiver," and what the security risks have done while they were in office. *Why* did Presidents Kennedy and Johnson overrule the adverse security findings against Walt Rostow made by the Eisenhower Administration and by their own Security officer?

When the Eisenhower Administration belatedly released the official papers of the secret Roosevelt conference at Yalta and Teheran, the truth was so damaging to the reputation of officials of the Roosevelt Administration that Republicans were provided with campaign material for years. It will likewise be valuable for the American people to be given the secret papers of the Kennedy-Khrushchev conference in Vienna in 1961, and of the Harriman-Khrushchev conference in Moscow in 1963 which resulted in the Nuclear Test Ban Treaty.

More harmful to the public than deals in smoke-filled rooms were the smoke-filled chimneys in the Executive offices as the departing LBJ Democrats made frantic efforts to destroy the evidence of eight years of corruption, shameful appeasing of Communists, and the deliberate destruction of the great military superiority they were given in 1961 by the Eisenhower-Nixon Administration.

———•———

All of the above will serve as an acid test for the sincerity of Nixon's Inaugural speech; paraphrasing Shakespeare, "*To do or not to do is the question*" Mr. Nixon is faced with—and he has very little time in which to answer that "*question*." Failure to answer it properly will, I repeat, make it mandatory for a "*Military Take-Care Of Government Committee*" to get into action to assure the salvation of our Country.

———•———

RE THE ADDENDUM IN LAST ISSUE.

———•———

In our last "News-Bulletin," No. 139, I published an "OPEN LETTER" to Erwin D. Canham exhorting him to be loyal to his job as "Editor-in-Chief" of the "Christian Science Monitor." It was written by a gravely concerned member of the Christian Science Movement in an effort to restore the "MONITOR" to its Mary Baker Eddy desire to have a publication devoted to TRUTH. In a "foreword" statement to that "OPEN LETTER" I stated that: "gradually, in late years, especially after Erwin Canham became Editor-in-Chief of the 'MONITOR', its integrity and dedication to TRUTH began to go into a gradual decline."

Some readers may have construed it to be a harsh and hostile criticism of Mr. Canham, therefore I now wish to stress that both my foreword and the OPEN LETTER were intended to be a prayer for the full restoration of the Editorial policies of the MONITOR to TRUTH and loyalty to both our Country and their own Science Movement. MCF

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER
P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009

Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com